

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,489	11/13/2001	Chander P. Chawla	214453	5624
23460	7590 11/21/2003		EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE			JACKSON, MONIQUE R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60601-6780	. 1773		
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		COLO			
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
	10/008,489	CHAWLA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Monique R Jackson	1773			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover she t with the	he c rresp ndence address			
Period for Reply	VICETTO EVOIDE 4 MO	NTU/O) EDOM			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply loly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	oe timely filed ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	<u>August 2003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	his action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	•	• •			
4) Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	·				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-49 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xamiliei.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		(A) (d) an (f)			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	in priority under 35 0.5.C. § 11	9(a)-(a) or (t).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	to have been used				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		aaliam Ala			
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	• • •				
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But a see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language pr	• •				
Attachment(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/008,489

Art Unit: 1773

1. The Applicant's arguments regarding Groups II and III have been considered but are most in view of the below restriction requirement.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-19, 32-37, 45, and 48, drawn to an adhesive composition, classified in class 522, subclass 6+.
  - II. Claims 20-31, 38-44, 46, 47 and 49, drawn to an optical media, classified in class 430, subclass 270.11.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 3. Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a molding composition for a self-supporting article and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,489

Art Unit: 1773

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Compounds 1-12 wherein each compound is a distinct species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, "acyclic thiols, heterocyclic compounds of the formula R-SH or R1-R2, and mixtures thereof" is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1773

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique R Jackson whose telephone number is 703-308-0428. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays, 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul J Thibodeau can be reached on 703-308-2367. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Monique R. Jackson

**Primary Examiner** 

Technology Center 1700

November 18, 2003